e-ISSN:2582-7219



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Volume 5, Issue 7, July 2022

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 7.54

6381 907 438 6381 907 438 ijmrset@gmail.com www.ijmrset.com

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 5, Issue 7, July 2022 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2022.0507050 |

Role of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Rural Development: An Assessment of the Implementation of the 73rd Constitutional Amendment Act

Dr. Sucheta Gupta

Lecturer, Department of Political Science, Government College Bibirani, Rajasthan, India

ABSTRACT: The 73rd Constitutional Amendment Act of 1992 provided a constitutional foundation for Panchayati Raj Institutions and assigned them a central role in rural development. The amendment mandated regular elections, reservation of seats for Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and women, and the devolution of powers and responsibilities relating to economic development and social justice. More than three decades after its enactment, the performance of these institutions varies considerably across states. While some states have transferred substantial functions, funds and functionaries, others have retained control at higher levels of administration. Empirical evidence indicates that Panchayati Raj Institutions have improved local participation and the delivery of certain basic services, yet constraints of financial autonomy, administrative capacity and political interference continue to limit their effectiveness. An assessment of implementation therefore requires attention both to the formal provisions of the amendment and to the practical conditions under which rural local bodies operate.

KEYWORDS: Panchayati Raj Institutions; 73rd Constitutional Amendment Act; rural development; devolution; local governance; women's reservation; Gram Sabha

I. INTRODUCTION

Rural development in India has long depended on the capacity of local institutions to identify needs, allocate resources and monitor implementation. The 73rd Constitutional Amendment Act, which came into force in 1993, sought to strengthen this capacity by giving Panchayati Raj Institutions a constitutional status and by defining their role in planning and executing programmes of economic development and social justice (Government of India, 1992). The amendment introduced a three-tier structure, regular elections, and reservations for historically disadvantaged groups. It also required state governments to endow panchayats with the powers and authority necessary to function as institutions of self-government.

The formal framework established by the amendment has been widely regarded as a significant step toward democratic decentralisation. Its practical effects, however, have been uneven. States differ in the extent to which they have transferred functions listed in the Eleventh Schedule, in the volume of funds placed at the disposal of panchayats, and in the degree of administrative support provided to elected representatives. These variations shape the ability of Panchayati Raj Institutions to contribute to rural development. An assessment of their role must therefore examine both the design of the constitutional provisions and the conditions of their implementation.

II. CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND KEY PROVISIONS

The 73rd Amendment inserted Part IX into the Constitution and added the Eleventh Schedule, which lists twenty-nine subjects on which panchayats may be entrusted with responsibilities. These subjects include agriculture, land improvement, minor irrigation, animal husbandry, rural housing, drinking water, roads, education, health and sanitation, and poverty alleviation programmes (Government of India, 1992). The amendment made the constitution of panchayats at the village, intermediate and district levels obligatory for states with a population exceeding twenty lakhs. It also provided for the reservation of seats for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in proportion to their population and for the reservation of not less than one-third of seats for women, including the offices of chairpersons.
Regular elections every five years and the constitution of State Finance Commissions and State Election Commissions were made mandatory. The Gram Sabha was recognised as the foundation of the system and was given the power to

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 5, Issue 7, July 2022 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2022.0507050 |

approve plans and programmes at the village level. These provisions were intended to create a stable institutional framework and to ensure that elected representatives remained accountable to the local electorate. The amendment did not, however, prescribe a uniform pattern of devolution. The actual transfer of functions, funds and functionaries was left to state legislatures, producing wide differences in the powers exercised by panchayats across the country (Mathew, 1994).

III. DEVOLUTION OF FUNCTIONS, FUNDS AND FUNCTIONARIES

Effective rural development through Panchayati Raj Institutions depends on the simultaneous transfer of functions, funds and functionaries. Studies of state-level implementation show that progress on these three dimensions has been uneven (Government of India, 2006; World Bank, 2000). Some states have assigned a substantial number of the subjects listed in the Eleventh Schedule to panchayats and have created corresponding budgetary provisions. Others have transferred only a limited set of functions and have retained control over staff and financial allocations at the state or district level. Where functionaries remain under the control of line departments, elected representatives often lack the administrative support required to implement decisions.

Financial devolution has been particularly constrained. Although State Finance Commissions are required to recommend the distribution of resources between the state and the panchayats, their recommendations have not always been accepted in full, and the volume of untied funds available to panchayats remains modest in many states (Rao & Singh, 2005). Panchayats frequently depend on tied grants for centrally sponsored schemes, which limits their discretion in responding to locally identified priorities. Own-source revenue, derived mainly from taxes on property, markets and minor minerals, constitutes a small share of total receipts. These financial limitations reduce the capacity of panchayats to plan and execute development works independently.

IV. PARTICIPATION, REPRESENTATION AND THE ROLE OF THE GRAM SABHA

The reservation of seats for women and for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes has altered the social composition of elected bodies. Women now constitute a substantial proportion of elected representatives at all three tiers, and several states have raised the reservation for women to one-half (Government of India, 2018). Empirical studies indicate that the presence of women representatives has been associated with greater attention to drinking water, sanitation and primary education in some localities (Chattopadhyay & Duflo, 2004). At the same time, the effectiveness of women representatives continues to be shaped by household responsibilities, limited prior administrative experience and, in some cases, the influence of male family members.

The Gram Sabha is intended to serve as the primary forum for citizen participation and for the approval of local plans. In practice, attendance at Gram Sabha meetings varies widely. In some villages meetings are held regularly and residents use them to raise questions about the use of funds and the quality of works. In others, meetings are poorly attended or are dominated by a small number of influential persons (Besley, Pande & Rao, 2005). Where Gram Sabhas function effectively, they provide a mechanism for identifying local needs and for holding elected representatives accountable. Where they remain weak, the link between citizens and the institutions responsible for rural development is attenuated.

V. CONTRIBUTION TO RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMMES

Panchayati Raj Institutions have been assigned responsibilities in the implementation of a range of rural development programmes, including those concerned with employment generation, housing, sanitation and the provision of basic infrastructure. Under the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act, for example, Gram Panchayats play a central role in the registration of households, the preparation of shelf of works and the payment of wages (Government of India, 2005). Evidence from several states suggests that the involvement of panchayats has improved the identification of local works and has increased transparency in wage payments in localities where social audits are conducted regularly (Afridi & Iversen, 2014).

In the areas of drinking water, sanitation and rural roads, the performance of panchayats has been more mixed. Where funds and technical support have been made available, panchayats have contributed to the expansion of basic services. In other settings, delays in the release of funds, shortages of trained staff and overlapping jurisdiction with line departments have slowed implementation. The capacity of panchayats to maintain assets created under various schemes

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 5, Issue 7, July 2022 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2022.0507050 |

remains limited in many districts. These variations indicate that the contribution of Panchayati Raj Institutions to rural development depends heavily on the supporting administrative and financial environment created by state governments.

VI. CONSTRAINTS AND CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTATION

Several persistent constraints limit the effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions. Financial dependence on higher levels of government reduces local discretion and encourages a focus on scheme-specific activities rather than on integrated local planning. Administrative capacity is uneven. Many elected representatives, particularly those serving for the first time, have limited familiarity with budgeting, procurement and technical supervision. Training programmes exist in most states, yet their coverage and quality vary (Ministry of Panchayati Raj, 2019).

Political interference and the influence of higher-level political actors also affect the autonomy of panchayats. In some states, parallel bodies or direct interventions by members of legislative assemblies have reduced the space available to elected local representatives. Corruption and leakage of funds remain concerns, although social audits and the increased use of direct benefit transfers have improved accountability in certain programmes. Finally, the coordination between the three tiers of the panchayat system is often weak. District and intermediate panchayats do not always provide effective technical and planning support to Gram Panchayats, limiting the coherence of development efforts across a district.

VII. ASSESSMENT OF OVERALL IMPACT

An overall assessment of the role of Panchayati Raj Institutions in rural development must recognise both achievements and limitations. The constitutional amendment has created a stable framework for regular elections and has broadened the social base of local representation. In states that have devolved substantial functions and funds, panchayats have contributed to improved delivery of selected services and to greater local oversight of development works. National-level data indicate gradual improvements in access to drinking water, sanitation and rural roads over the past two decades, and local institutions have played a part in these gains (Government of India, 2019).

At the same time, the uneven pace of devolution means that the potential of Panchayati Raj Institutions remains only partially realised. In many states the transfer of functionaries has lagged behind the transfer of functions, and financial resources continue to be dominated by tied grants. The result is a system in which elected representatives possess formal authority but often lack the practical means to exercise it fully. Strengthening the contribution of these institutions to rural development therefore requires more consistent devolution of funds and staff, sustained investment in capacity building, and clearer mechanisms of accountability between the different tiers of government.

The constitutional design of the 73rd Amendment reflected lessons drawn from earlier experiments with local government. Previous legislation had created panchayats in many states, yet these bodies frequently lacked security of tenure and clear functional responsibilities. By embedding the three-tier structure, regular elections and reservations in the Constitution, the amendment sought to reduce the possibility that state governments would dissolve or supersede local bodies for extended periods (Mathew, 1994). The inclusion of the Eleventh Schedule provided a common list of subjects, even though the actual assignment of those subjects remained a state responsibility. This combination of national framework and state discretion has produced both a degree of uniformity in institutional form and considerable diversity in the powers actually exercised by panchayats.

Financial arrangements under the amendment rest on the recommendations of State Finance Commissions. These commissions are required to review the financial position of panchayats and to recommend principles for the distribution of resources. In practice, the acceptance and implementation of their recommendations have varied. Some states have increased the share of state revenues transferred to panchayats and have introduced formula-based allocations that reduce discretion. Others have continued to rely primarily on scheme-specific grants, which limit the ability of panchayats to address locally determined priorities (Rao & Singh, 2005). Own-source revenues remain small in most states. Taxes on buildings, markets and minor minerals generate limited amounts, and collection efficiency is often low. The resulting dependence on higher-level transfers constrains local planning and encourages a focus on activities for which funds are readily available.

The reservation of seats for women has been one of the most visible consequences of the amendment. National data indicate that women now occupy a substantial share of elected positions at the village, intermediate and district levels (Government of India, 2018). Studies that examine the policy priorities of women representatives find that, in some

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 5, Issue 7, July 2022 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2022.0507050 |

settings, their presence is associated with greater expenditure on drinking water and sanitation (Chattopadhyay & Duflo, 2004). These effects are not uniform. In many localities women representatives continue to face constraints arising from limited literacy, household obligations and the influence of male relatives. Training programmes and the gradual accumulation of experience have reduced some of these constraints, yet significant differences in effective participation remain across states and districts.

The Gram Sabha is constitutionally recognised as the body through which villagers can approve plans, review expenditure and hold representatives accountable. Field studies show wide variation in the functioning of these assemblies. In villages where meetings are held at convenient times and where information on funds and works is made available in advance, residents raise questions about the selection of beneficiaries and the quality of construction (Besley, Pande & Rao, 2005). In other villages attendance is low, and meetings are concluded quickly with limited discussion. The difference often reflects the efforts of elected representatives and local officials to encourage participation, as well as the extent to which residents believe that their interventions will influence decisions. Strengthening the Gram Sabha therefore requires both procedural improvements and a broader change in the expectations of citizens and officials.

Implementation of employment-guarantee programmes illustrates both the potential and the limitations of panchayat involvement. Gram Panchayats are responsible for registering households, preparing lists of works and ensuring timely wage payments under the relevant legislation (Government of India, 2005). Where social audits are conducted regularly and where funds are released without prolonged delay, panchayats have improved the identification of useful local works and have reduced certain forms of leakage (Afridi & Iversen, 2014). In districts where technical staff are scarce and where higher-level officials retain control over sanctions, the role of the panchayat is more limited. These contrasting experiences indicate that the contribution of local institutions depends on the surrounding administrative arrangements as much as on the formal assignment of responsibilities.

Capacity constraints affect both elected representatives and the staff who support them. Many representatives enter office with limited prior experience of budgeting or technical supervision. State-level training institutions offer orientation programmes, yet the duration and content of these programmes vary, and follow-up support is often weak (Ministry of Panchayati Raj, 2019). Staff shortages are equally significant. In numerous Gram Panchayats a single secretary is responsible for multiple villages, reducing the time available for record-keeping, supervision of works and assistance to representatives. Until these capacity gaps are narrowed, the formal powers conferred by the constitutional amendment will continue to be exercised unevenly.

Political dynamics at higher levels also shape the space available to panchayats. In some states members of legislative assemblies and ministers intervene directly in the selection of works or in the allocation of funds, reducing the discretion of elected local bodies. Parallel committees created for specific schemes sometimes operate alongside panchayats and receive separate funding, further fragmenting authority. These practices do not appear uniformly across the country, yet where they are prevalent they weaken the accountability relationship between representatives and the Gram Sabha. Clarifying the respective roles of different levels of government and reducing ad-hoc interventions would strengthen the institutional framework established by the amendment.

National statistics on rural infrastructure show gradual improvement in access to drinking water, sanitation and all-weather roads over the past two decades (Government of India, 2019). Panchayati Raj Institutions have participated in the planning and execution of many of these works. Their contribution has been most evident in localities where funds reached the panchayat in a timely manner and where technical support was available. In other areas delays in fund release and shortages of supervisory staff have slowed progress. The overall record therefore reflects both the opportunities created by constitutional decentralisation and the practical constraints that continue to limit local initiative. Sustained improvement will require more predictable financial flows, clearer assignment of staff, and continued attention to the functioning of Gram Sabhas as forums of local accountability.

Coordination among the three tiers of the panchayat system remains incomplete in many states. District panchayats are expected to provide technical guidance and to consolidate plans prepared at lower levels, yet the staff and financial resources available to them are often limited. Intermediate panchayats similarly struggle to offer consistent support to Gram Panchayats. As a result, planning frequently occurs in isolation, and opportunities for economies of scale in works that cross village boundaries are missed. Strengthening the intermediate and district tiers through clearer functional assignments and adequate staffing would improve the coherence of rural development efforts without diminishing the role of the Gram Panchayat and the Gram Sabha.

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 5, Issue 7, July 2022 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2022.0507050 |

Social audits have emerged as an important mechanism for improving accountability in programmes implemented through panchayats. When conducted regularly and with active participation of residents, social audits have identified irregularities in the selection of works and in wage payments and have prompted corrective action (Afridi & Iversen, 2014). Their effectiveness, however, depends on the availability of information, the willingness of officials to act on findings, and protection for those who raise complaints. In districts where these conditions are present, social audits have reinforced the oversight role of the Gram Sabha. In other districts the process remains formal and produces limited follow-up. Expanding the coverage and quality of social audits would strengthen the contribution of Panchayati Raj Institutions to transparent implementation.

The experience of different states offers useful contrasts. States that have transferred a larger number of functions together with corresponding funds and staff have generally recorded higher levels of local expenditure on basic services and greater citizen satisfaction with selected public goods. States that have retained control over staff and financial sanctions have seen more limited local initiative. These differences are not solely the product of political will. Administrative traditions, the strength of local revenue bases and the density of civil-society organisations also shape outcomes. Comparative evidence nevertheless indicates that more complete devolution is associated with a larger role for panchayats in rural development.

Capacity-building efforts have expanded since the amendment, yet significant gaps remain. Orientation programmes for newly elected representatives cover basic procedures and scheme guidelines, but longer-term support in financial management and technical supervision is less common. Women representatives and representatives from Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes often require additional assistance to navigate administrative processes. Investments in training that are sustained across electoral cycles and that include practical follow-up at the block level would reduce the capacity constraints that currently limit the effectiveness of many panchayats.

Looking ahead, the contribution of Panchayati Raj Institutions to rural development will depend on progress in three related areas. First, state governments need to complete the transfer of functions listed in the Eleventh Schedule and to accompany that transfer with predictable financial resources and appropriate staff. Second, mechanisms of local accountability, particularly the Gram Sabha and social audits, require consistent support so that citizens can monitor the use of funds and the quality of works. Third, continued investment in the capacity of elected representatives and supporting staff is necessary if formal powers are to be translated into effective action. Progress on these fronts would allow the institutional framework created by the 73rd Amendment to realise a larger share of its potential for rural development.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The 73rd Constitutional Amendment Act established a constitutional basis for Panchayati Raj Institutions and assigned them significant responsibilities in rural development. Implementation over the subsequent decades has produced a mixed record. Regular elections and reservations have widened participation, and panchayats have contributed to the delivery of certain basic services where supporting conditions have been present. Constraints of financial autonomy, administrative capacity and incomplete devolution continue to limit their effectiveness in many states. An assessment of their role indicates that the formal provisions of the amendment have created important opportunities for local governance, yet the realisation of these opportunities depends on the willingness of state governments to transfer adequate functions, funds and functionaries and on the capacity of elected representatives and Gram Sabhas to use the powers conferred upon them.

REFERENCES

1. Afridi, F., & Iversen, V. (2014). Social audits and MGNREGA delivery: Lessons from Andhra Pradesh. India Policy Forum, 10(1), 1–42.
2. Besley, T., Pande, R., & Rao, V. (2005). Participatory democracy in action: Survey evidence from South India. Journal of the European Economic Association, 3(2–3), 648–657.
3. Chattopadhyay, R., & Duflo, E. (2004). Women as policy makers: Evidence from a randomized policy experiment in India. Econometrica, 72(5), 1409–1443.
4. Government of India. (1992). The Constitution (Seventy-third Amendment) Act, 1992. Ministry of Law and Justice.

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 5, Issue 7, July 2022 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2022.0507050 |

5. Government of India. (2005). The Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act, 2005. Ministry of Rural Development.
6. Government of India. (2006). Report of the expert group on planning at the grassroots level. Ministry of Panchayati Raj.
7. Government of India. (2018). Basic statistics of Panchayati Raj Institutions. Ministry of Panchayati Raj.
8. Government of India. (2019). Rural development statistics. Ministry of Rural Development.
9. Mathew, G. (1994). Panchayati Raj: From legislation to movement. Concept Publishing.
10. Ministry of Panchayati Raj. (2019). Annual report 2018–19. Government of India.
11. Rao, M. G., & Singh, N. (2005). The political economy of federalism in India. Oxford University Press.
12. World Bank. (2000). Overview of rural decentralization in India. World Bank.
13. Alok, V. N. (2011). Role of panchayat bodies in rural development since 1959. Indian Institute of Public Administration.
14. Buch, N. (2010). From oppression to assertion: Women and panchayats in India. Routledge.
15. Jain, L. C. (Ed.). (2005). Decentralisation and local governance. Orient Longman.
16. Palanithurai, G. (2005). Process and performance of Panchayati Raj. Concept Publishing.
17. Singh, S. (2007). Decentralisation and rural development in India. Deep & Deep Publications.
18. Vyasulu, V. (2003). Panchayats, democracy and development. Rawat Publications.
19. Baviskar, B. S., & Mathew, G. (Eds.). (2009). Inclusion and exclusion in local governance. Sage.
20. Government of India. (2013). Towards holistic panchayat raj: Twentieth anniversary report. Ministry of Panchayati Raj.



Impact Factor
7.54

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |

www.ijmrset.com